FLUORIDE-INDUCED CHANGES IN THE EXPRESSION OF EPIDERMAL GROWTH FACTOR AND ITS RECEPTOR IN TESTICULAR TISSUES OF YOUNG MALE RATS

Shuangxiu Wan,^a Jianhai Zhang,^b Jundong Wang.^b

Shanxi, China

Summary: Sixty-four forty-day old male Wistar rats were randomly divided into two groups of thirty-two each. One group was left untreated as controls, and the other group was administered 150 mg NaF/L (68 ppm F⁻) in their drinking water to assess changes in epidermal growth factor (EGF) and its receptor (EGFR) expression induced by fluoride in the testes by using an immunohistochemical assay. After ten consecutive days of exposure to fluoride, decreased EGF expression occurred in the Leydig cells, spermatogonia, and spermatocytes, along with diminished EGFR expression in the spermatocytes, and spermatids of testicular tissues. This decrease in expression of EGF and its receptor in Leydig cells and spermatogenic cells may be one of the pathways that can impair reproductive function.

Keywords: Epidermal growth factor (EGF); Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR); Fluoride and testes; Leydig cells; Male rats; Spermatocytes; Spermatogonia.

INTRODUCTION

Recent reports of adverse effects of fluoride on the male reproductive system have attracted considerable interest.¹⁻³ Clinical investigations and animal experiments suggest that fluoride can cause the impairment of reproductive function.⁴⁻⁹ Our recent research indicated that 68 mg fluoride ion/L in the drinking water significantly reduces sperm quality and alters testicular histological parameters in male rats.¹⁰ The epidermal growth factor (EGF) and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) play an important role in spermatogenesis and testicular steroidogenesis.¹¹⁻¹³ However, there appear to be few reports that indicate some relationship between fluoride and EGF and EGFR expression in testicular tissues. This study, therefore, aims to explore changes in EGF and EGFR expression induced by fluoride in young rat testes.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Materials: Forty-day-old male Wistar rats (each weighing approximately 50 g) and their standard diets were obtained from the experimental animal center of Shanxi Medical University for this study.

Establishment of animal model: As in our recent report, ¹⁰ sixty-four of the above male rats were divided randomly into two groups of thirty-two: a control group, which was given distilled water, and a high fluoride group to which 150 mg NaF/L (= 68 mg F⁻/L) was administered in their drinking water. All rats were maintained on the standard diets at 22–25°C under normal ventilation and hygienic conditions. At day 50 (i.e., at 50 days of age), eight rats from each group were randomly selected, weighed, and injected with 20% urethane solution for fatal anaesthesia. Blood was collected from the eyeball for separating serum, and the testes tissues

^aShandong Heze College, Heze, Shandong, 274015, PR of China.

^bFor Correspondence: Prof Jundong Wang, Shanxi Key Laboratory of Ecological Animal Science and Environmental Medicine, Shanxi Agricultural University, Taigu, Shanxi, 030801, PR of China; E-mail: wangjd@sxau.edu.cn

were carefully removed, blotted free of blood, fixed in Karnory substrate,¹⁴ and embedded by paraffin for further study.

Immunohistochemistry for EGF and EGFR: Fixed and paraffin-embedded testis sections six-micrometers thick of 50-day old rats were stained with EGF & EGFR which were provided by Wuhan Boster immunohistochemistry kits. Bioengineering Co., Ltd, Wuhan, China, by the method of Yang et al.¹⁵ After deparaffination, the endogenous peroxidases of these sections were blocked with 0.3% H₂O₂, and forced reaction with EGF and EGF-R antibody (diluted by 1:100) for 24 hr at 4°C. Similarly, instead of using EGF and EGFR antibody, normal rat serum was used for the negative control section. Subsequently, incubation with 1% rabbit anti-human serum, antigen retrieval was performed in a microwave oven for 20 min at 80°C for 10 min. In a final step, sections were counterstained with hematoxylin and mounted under cover slips with neutral gum prior to observation using an upright microscope (model: BX51, OLYMPUS of Japan); 5-10 visual fields per slide, and 6 sections per male rats were selected randomly for analysis.¹⁶ Cells that contained yellow or brown yellow granules were regarded as the positive cells, whereas the cells with no vellow or brown vellow granules were considered to be negative cells. Optical densities of the positive cells from every viewing were measured by Image-Pro® Plus Version 5.1 micrograph analysis software (made in Media Cybernetics Inc. of America).

RESULTS

Results of EGF expression in the testes of the 50-day-old male rats are shown in Figures 1 and 2 and in Table 1.



Figure 1. EGF expression in the testes of 50-day-old fluoride-exposed male rats (×660).



Figure 2. EGF expression in the testes of 50-day-old control male rats (×660).

Table 1. EGF expression measured a	s optical density in testicular ti	issue of 50-day-old male rats (mean±SE)
------------------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------------	----------

Expression cells or sites	Control	Fluoride-exposed
Leydig cells	0.3389±0.0053	0.3165±0.0022*
Spermatogonia	0.2528±0.0071	0.2193±0.0017*
Spermatocytes	0.2329±0.0045	0.2087±0.0026*
Spermatids	0.1896±0.0026	0.1910±0.0012
Lumen Sheddings	0.1468±0.0025	0.1447±0.0029
*n<0.01 compared with the control are		

*p<0.01compared with the control group.

Changes in EGFR expression in the testes of the 50-day-old male rats are recorded in Figures 3 and 4 and in Table 2.



Figure 3. EGFR expression in the testes of 50-day-old fluoride-exposed male rats (×660).



Figure 4. EGFR expression in the testes of 50-day-old control male rats (×660).

 Table 2. EGFR expression measured as optical density in testicular tissue of 50-day-old male rats (mean±SE)

(
Expression cells or sites	Control	Fluoride-exposed		
Leydig cells	0.3260±0.0014	0.3214±0.0009		
Spermatogonia	0.3077±0.0040	0.3075±0.0019		
Spermatocytes	0.3036±0.0022	0.2987±0.0009*		
Spermatids	0.3029±0.0014	0.2968±0.0013 [†]		
Lumen sheddings	0.0397±0.0003	0.0400±0.0004		

*p<0.01, [†] p<0.01 compared with the control group.

Views of typical negative controls, considered to lack EGF and EGFR expression in the testes, are shown in Figures 5 and 6, respectively.



Figure 5. Example of negative control lacking EGF expression in the testes of 50-day-old male rats (×660).

Figure 6. Example of negative control lacking EGFR expression in the testes of 50-day-old male rats (×660).

DISCUSSION

Epidermal growth factor is an androgen regulated mitogenetic growth factor that has been shown to regulate ectodermal and mesodermal cellular development.¹⁷ The major source of EGF is from the submandibular gland and is increased by androgenic stimulation.¹⁸ Tsutsumi et al.¹¹ and Leng et al.¹⁹ reported that sialoadenectomy (removal of the submandibular glands) inhibited

124 Research report Fluoride 39(2)121–125 April-June 2006

spermatogenesis processes and testicular development in rats, and decreased the amount of circulating EGF to an undetectable level in mice. They also found that the number of mature sperm in the epididymis decreased by as much as 55 percent; and the number of spermatids in the testis decreased by 40 to 50 percent. Administration of EGF to sialoadenectomized mice restored both the sperm content of the epididymis and the number of spermatids in the testis to normal levels.¹¹ Thus, EGF may play a role in male reproductive function by stimulating the meiotic phase of spermatogenesis.

In the present study, compared to the controls, the expression of EGF in the testes of male rats drinking 68 ppm F^- water for 10 days showed a significant decrease in Leydig cells, spermatogonia, and spermatocytes. The EGFR expression also decreased in the spermatocytes and spermatids in the testes of these rats. Furthermore, as we have shown recently, sperm quality, the ratio of testicular weight to body weight, and testicular histological parameters were also altered in male rats under the same conditions.¹⁰

It should be noted that EGF apparently exerts its effects by binding to and activating EGFR. After binding to EGF ligands, EGFR triggers subcellular protein tyrosine phosphorylation, including rapid receptor autophosphorylation, which plays an important role in spermatogenesis.²⁰ Therefore, although the changes in EGF or EGFR expression only occur in some kinds of cells of testicular tissues, the combined effects of these changes may involve Leydig cells and all spermatogenic cells. At present the mechanism of the decrease of EGFR and EGFR expression in the testis induced by fluoride is not clearly known.

In conclusion, fluoride decreased the EGF and EGFR expression in Leydig cells and spermatogenic cells of young male rat testes, which may be one of the pathways that lead to impaired reproductive function.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was sponsored by Shanxi Province Returnee's Science Foundation in China (Grant No. 2004043).

REFERENCES

- 1 Chinoy NJ, Sorathia HP, Jhala DD. Fluoride+aluminium induced toxicity in mice testis with giant cells and its reversal by vitamin C. Fluoride 2005;38:109-14.
- 2 Chinoy NJ, Sorathia HP, Jhala DD. Fluoride and aluminium induced toxicity in mice epididymis and its mitigation by vitamin C. Fluoride 2005;38:115-21.
- 3 Chinoy NJ, Sorathia HP, Jhala DD. Recovery from fluoride+aluminium toxicity in vas deferens, seminal vesicle, and ventral prostate of mice by vitamin C. Fluoride 2005;38:122-6.
- 4 Zhang JH, Wang JD, Pang QH, Lang ZX. Effects of high fluoride on reproduction function of the experimental animals and humans. Proceedings of the 2nd National Conference on History of Toxicology and Control of Paroxysmal Poisoning; 2004 June; Xi'an, China. Shaanxi; 2004. p. 20-4. [in Chinese].
- 5 Chinoy NJ. Studies on effects of fluoride in 36 villages of Mehsana district, North Gujarat. Fluoride 1992;25:101-10.
- 6 Zakrzewska H, Udała J, Blaszczyk B. *In vitro* influence of sodium fluoride on ram semen quality and enzyme activities. Fluoride 2002; 35:153-60.
- 7 Chinoy NJ, Sukla S, Walimbe AS, Bhattacharya S. Fluoride toxicity on rat testis and cauda epididymal tissue components and its reversal. Fluoride 1997;30:41-50.
- 8 Elbetieha A, Darmani H, Al-Hiyasat AS. Fertility effects of sodium fluoride in male mice. Fluoride 2000;33:128-34.

- 9 Chinoy NJ, Sharma A. Amelioration of fluoride toxicity by vitamins E and D in reproductive functions of male mice. Fluoride 1998;31:203-16.
- 10 Wan SX, Zhang JH, Wang JD. Effects of high fluoride on sperm quality and testicular histology in male rats. Fluoride 2006;39:17-21.
- 11 Tsutsumi O, Kurachi H, Oka T. A physiological role of epidermal growth factor in male reproductive function. Science 1986;233:975-7.
- 12 Radhakrishnan B, Oke BO, Papadopoulos V, DiAugustine RP, Suarez-Quian CA. Characterization of epidermal growth factor in mouse testis. Endocrinology 1992;131:3091-9.
- 13 Stubbs SC, Hargreave TB, Habib FK. Localization and characterization of epidermal growth factor receptors on human testicular tissue by biochemical and immunohistochemical techniques. J Endocrinol 1990;125:485-92.
- 14 Rui JS, Du MQ, Chen HM, Li CL. Histological section technology. People education press. Shanghai;1980. [in Chinese].
- 15 Yang GS, Lu RK, Chen ZD. Clinical significance of EGF and EGFR expression changes in cryptorchid boys. Asian J Androl 2002;4:255-8.
- 16 Zhang QL, Qiu SD, Ge Ling. Studies on expression and location of VEGF protein in rat testis and epididymis. Acta Biol Exper Sinica 2004;37:1-8. [in Chinese].
- 17 Fisher DA. Hormone epidermal growth factor interactions in development. Horm Res 1990;33: 69-76.
- 18 Cain MP, Kramer SA, Tindall DJ, Husmann DA. Epidermal growth factor reverses antiandrogen induced cryptorchidism and epididymal development. J Urol 1994;152:770-3.
- 19 Leng SY, Fan LY. FCM analysis effects of sialoadenectomy on spermatogenic cells of rat. Reprod & Contraception 1996;16:108-13. [in Chinese].
- 20 Gupta C. The role of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in male reproductive tract differentiation: stimulation of EGFR expression and inhibition of Wolffian duct differentiation with anti-EGFR antibody. Endocr 1996;137:905-10.