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SUMMARY: After previously excluding iodine and lead as confounding factors, the aim of the present study, conducted in June 2013, was to consider whether arsenic was a confounding factor in the studies of children’s intelligence in Wamiao and Xinhui. Fluoride and arsenic levels were measured in water samples from the 37 household shallow wells still in use for providing water for washing but not drinking, 17 in Wamiao and 20 in Xinhui. The fluoride levels in the household shallow wells in Wamiao (2.28±0.44 mg/L, range: 1.66–3.18 mg/L) were significantly higher than those in Xinhui (0.38±0.21 mg/L, range: 0.15–0.77 mg/L) (t=17.08, p=0.000). In contrast, the levels of arsenic in Xinhui (16.40±19.11 µg/L, range: 0–48.50 µg/L) were significantly higher than those in Wamiao (0.24±0.26 µg/L, range: 0–0.50 µg/L) (t=3.48, p=0.001). In our 2003 study, in high-fluoride Wamiao (mean drinking water F=2.47 mg/L) the average IQ was 8.4 points lower (92.02 vs. 100.41) than in the low-fluoride Xinhui (mean drinking water F=0.36 mg/L). These results make it very unlikely that the differences in IQ of the children living in Wamiao and Xinhui are the result of differences in exposure to arsenic rather than to fluoride.
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INTRODUCTION

Jiangsu Province is one of the severe endemic fluorosis areas in China with fluorosis screening in 1980s showing that there were 2,026 villages with endemic fluorosis and the average prevalence of dental fluorosis was 66.76%. The affected areas are mainly distributed to the north of the Subei irrigation river and along Lake Hongze Lake including Xuzhou, Suqian, Lianyungang and Huaiain city.

We previously reported, in 2003–2011, an association between children’s intelligence and the level of fluoride in both drinking water and serum in two villages, Wamiao and Xinhui, in Sihong County, Jiangsu Province, 2-4 and found that neither iodine nor lead were confounding factors 2,5 but did not consider possible confounding by arsenic which may also lower intellectual function in children.6-8

Water with high arsenic levels was found in Jiangsu Province in 2004–2005 and in 2005 we screened 9,427 household shallow wells for water arsenic and found 271 water samples with arsenic level over 10 µg/L including 220 samples with levels over 50 µg/L. The highest level of water arsenic was 333 µg/L.9 The areas with higher water arsenic were mainly located along Lake Hongze and Huaihe river, in Sihong county, Suqian City, and Xuyi County, Huaian City.
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The aim of the present study, conducted in June 2013, was to consider whether arsenic was a confounding factor in the studies of children’s intelligence in Wamiao and Xinhuai.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The basic information on Wamiao and Xinhuai villages has been published previously. Since 2004 in Wamiao and 2009 in Xinhuai almost all villagers have used tap water rather than well water. The household shallow wells have been scarcely used with just a few being used for washing but not drinking. We collected water samples from the 37 household shallow wells still in use, 17 in Wamiao and 20 in Xinhuai.

The 50 mL plastic bottles used to collect the shallow well water were cleaned by soaking in 10% nitric acid (HNO3) for 48 hr and being washed three times with tap water and twice with distilled water. The well water samples were analyzed within two weeks. The water arsenic levels were measured by an atomic fluorescence spectrophotometer (AFS-230E, manufactured by Beijing Haiguang Instrument Company, China) with a limit of detection (LOD) of 0.06 µg/L±2.4%. The water fluoride levels were measured with a fluoride ion selective electrode with an LOD of 20 µg/L ± 2%.

The data were analyzed using SPSS16.0 Software.

RESULTS

The sample sites covered most of the area of the villages of Wamiao and Xinhuai as shown in the Google Earth maps (Figures 1 and 2).

The fluoride levels in the household shallow wells in Wamiao (2.28±0.44 mg/L, range: 1.66–3.18 mg/L) were significantly higher than those in Xinhuai (0.38±0.21 mg/L, range: 0.15–0.77 mg/L) (t=17.08, p=0.000) (Figures 3 and 4). In contrast, the levels of arsenic in Xinhuai (16.40±19.11 µg/L, range: 0–48.50 µg/L; levels of arsenic in 7 water samples were lower than the limitation of arsenic detection) were significantly higher than those in Wamiao (0.24±0.26 µg/L, range:
0–0.50 µg/L; levels of arsenic in 9 water samples were lower than the limitation of arsenic detection) \((t=3.48, p=0.001)\) (Figures 3 and 4).

**Figure 2.** The map of Xinhua from Google Earth. The stars indicate the sampling sites.

**Figure 3.** Fluoride and arsenic levels in household shallow wells in Xinhua village.

**Figure 4.** Fluoride and arsenic levels in household shallow wells in Wamiao village.
The results of correlation analysis indicated that a significant inverse relationship was present between the levels of arsenic and fluoride in Xinhuai village with the level of fluoride increasing as the level of arsenic decreased (correlation coefficient=–0.765, p=0.000) (Figure 5). This relationship was not present in Wamiao village (correlation coefficient=–0.264, p=0.305) (Figure 6).

**DISCUSSION**

In this follow-up study, on fluoride exposure and children’s IQ, we found that the arsenic levels in the household shallow well water in the high-fluoride Wamiao village were very low, with the highest level of arsenic being only 0.50 µg/L. In low-fluoride Xinhuai village, the average level of arsenic was significant higher (16.40±19.11 µg/L) than that in Wamiao (0.24±0.26 µg/L). The highest level of arsenic in Xinhuai was 48.50 µg/L, which was lower than the former national standard of China of 50.00 µg/L. In our 2003 study, in high-fluoride Wamiao (mean drinking water F=2.47 mg/L) the average IQ was 8.4 points lower (92.02 vs. 100.41) than in the low-fluoride Xinhuai (mean drinking water F=0.36 mg/L). These results make it very unlikely that the differences in IQ of the children living in Wamiao and Xinhuai are the result of differences in exposure to arsenic rather than to fluoride.
Wasserman et al. found that water arsenic concentrations of 10 and 50 µg/L were associated with decrements in full-scale raw IQ scores of 3.8 and 6.4 points, respectively. These levels are comparable to those found in the shallow well water in Xinhuai village and we regret we did not measure the arsenic levels in our initial 2003 study. Wang et al. found that exposure to fluoride in drinking water was associated with neurotoxic effects in children and that the decline in the intellectual function from exposure to arsenic was more significant than that from fluoride exposure. However, they did not analysis the effect on IQ of the interaction of arsenic and fluoride.

Although the adverse effects of comparatively low intake of fluoride on intelligence and mental acuity continue to be reported, they are also still being questioned and denied. We do not have a clear explanation for the inverse relationship between fluoride and arsenic in the well water in the low-fluoride village Xinhuai.

In our future research, we plan to study the health effects of the interaction of the fluoride and arsenic and the dose-response relationships, especially their neurotoxicity at comparatively low concentrations.
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