# GASTRIC DISCOMFORTS FROM FLUORIDE IN DRINKING WATER IN SANGANER TEHSIL, RAJASTHAN, INDIA

JD Sharma,<sup>a</sup> Parul Jain, Deepika Sohu Jaipur, India.

SUMMARY: A health survey of 1135 children and 1475 adults living in Sanganer Tehsil, Rajasthan, India, revealed a wide range of gastrointestinal (GI) discomforts associated with fluoride (F) in the groundwater in over 30 village areas. Complaints of abdominal pain including stomach ache, a bloated feeling, nausea, diarrhea, and constipation were recorded by questionnaires and interviews in low (<0.5 ppm F), medium (1.0-1.5 ppm), and high (>1.5 ppm) F water areas. Although the survey did not disclose GI discomfort among 360 children in the low nor among 375 children in the medium F areas, 8.50% of the 400 children (mainly 12 to 18 years old) in the high F area were suffering from stomach ache, 3.25% from a bloated feeling, 4.75% from nausea, 0.25% from diarrhea, and 0.25% from constipation. Among 458 adults in the low F village areas, 8.30%, 3.49%, 6.11%, 5.24%, and 0.87%, respectively, complained of stomach ache, a bloated feeling, nausea, diarrhea, and constipation, while among 489 adults in the medium F areas the percentages were 12.07%, 4.29%, 9.20%, 4.50%, and 1.64%, and among 528 adults in the high F areas they increased to 39.20%, 11.17%, 17.61%, and 18.94%, and 1.89%, respectively. The main complaint of children and adults was stomach ache, followed by nausea and a bloated feeling, with males more prone to these effects than females. Possible reasons for the prevalence of gastric distress in these F areas are poor nutritional and socio-economic conditions exacerbated by ingestion of F through drinking water and dietary habits.

Keywords: Constipation; Diarrhea; Gastrointestinal discomfort; Groundwater fluoride; Nausea; Rajasthan, India; Sanganer Tehsil; Stomach ache.

#### INTRODUCTION

Fluoride (F) ingested in food and water is absorbed through the gastric and duodenal mucosa, thereby entering the circulatory system. Acute and chronic studies in animals and humans have shown that F causes gastrointestinal (GI) damage. In cases of osteofluorosis, intestinal disorders have been reported. In rabbits, histopathological changes and cell degeneration in duodenal mucosa from F have also been observed

The present study was conducted to evaluate GI effects of F in drinking water on children and adults residing in village areas of Sanganer Tehsil, Rajasthan, India.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

On the basis of the F concentration in the groundwater, villages of Sanganer Tehsil were divided into two groups: F non-endemic group (low F group having F in drinking water below 1 ppm or medium F group having F in the range of 1.0-1.5 ppm) and a high F-endemic group (F in drinking water more than 1.5 ppm).

A minimum of 10 villages was selected from each group for the study. A human health survey was carried out with a questionnaire prepared with the help of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>For correspondence: Dr (Mrs) JD Sharma, Associate Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India; E-mail: jaishree\_ajay@yahoo.co.in; deepika.sohu@gmail.com.

physicians for interrogation purposes and investigation of the health problems of children (<6 to 18 years) and adults of the various villages.

#### RESULTS

The villagers of the F-endemic study areas were found to be suffering from GI discomforts including stomach ache, a bloated feeling (gas), nausea (vomiting), intermittent diarrhea, repeated constipation, and abdominal tenderness.

As seen in Table 1, among children in the low and medium F areas, no cases of GI discomfort were observed. In the high F village areas, stomach ache followed by nausea and a bloated feeling were the most common complaints among the children. Only a few children in the high F areas reported having intermittent diarrhea or repeated constipation.

Table 1. Gastrointestinal discomforts among children in low, medium, and high fluoride village areas of Sanganer Tehsil

Area	Sex <sup>a</sup>	Total no. of children surveyed	Gastrointestinal discomforts (percentages in parenthesis)					
			Stomach ache	Bloated feeling	Nausea	Diarrhea	Constipation	
	Total	360	0	0	0	0	0	
Low F (<1.0 ppm)	М	177	0	0	0	0	0	
	F	183	0	0	0	0	0	
	Total	375	0	0	0	0	0	
Medium F	М	193	0	0	0	0	0	
(1.0-1.5 ppm)	F	182	0	0	0	0	0	
	Total	400	34 (8.50) <sup>b</sup>	13 (3.25)	19 (4.75)	1 (0.25)	1 (0.25)	
High F (>1.5 ppm)	М	203	19 (9.36)	7 (3.45)	10 (4.93)	0 (0.00)	1 (0.49)	
	F	197	15 (7.61)	6 (3.05)	9 (4.57)	1 (0.51)	0 (0.00)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>M = male; F = female.

As seen in Table 2, GI distress with stomach ache followed by nausea, diarrhea, and a bloated feeling, were the most common complaints among adults living in the low F areas. Similar findings were seen in the medium F areas. In the high fluoride areas, the highest numbers of cases GI discomfort were observed; stomach ache being the most frequent, followed by diarrhea, nausea, and a bloated feeling. Constipation was also found but to a comparatively low extent. Moreover, males appeared to be more susceptible to GI discomforts compared to females.

Table 2. Gastrointestinal discomforts among adults in low, medium, and high fluoride village areas of Sanganer Tehsil

Area	Sex <sup>a</sup>	Total no. of adults surveyed	Gastrointestinal discomforts (percentages in parenthesis)					
			Stomach ache	Bloated feeling	Nausea	Diarrhea	Constipation	
Low F (<1.0 ppm)	Total	458	38 (8.30) <sup>b</sup>	16 (3.49)	28 (6.11)	24 (5.24)	4 (0.87)	
	М	226	23 (10.18)	9 (3.98)	16 (7.08)	14 (6.19)	2 (0.88)	
	F	232	15 (6.47)	7 (3.02)	12 (5.17)	10 (4.31)	2 (0.86)	
	Total	489	59 (12.07)	21 (4.29)	45 (9.20)	22 (4.50)	8 (1.64)	
Medium F (1.0-1.5 ppm)	М	24 1	30 (12.45)	11 (4.56)	22 (9.13)	11 (4.56)	4 (1.66)	
	F	248	29 (11.69)	10 (4.03)	23 (9.27)	11 (4.44)	4 (1.61)	
High F (>1.5 ppm)	Total	528	207 (39.20)	59 (11.17)	93 (17.61)	100 (18.94)	10 (1.89)	
	М	263	104 (39.54)	28 (10.65)	49 (18.63)	52 (19.77)	5 (1.90)	
	F	265	103 (38.87)	31 (11.70)	44 (16.60)	48 (18.11)	5 (1.89)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>M= male; F= female.

Finally, as seen in Table 3, stomach ache was the most common GI discomfort among children as well as adults, followed by nausea and a bloated feeling in children, while the trend among adults was stomach ache followed by nausea and diarrhea. Only a few children were found to be suffering from constipation and intermittent diarrhea, while among adults these ailments were more common. The highest numbers of GI disorders were observed among adults of 60 years or older, followed by those in the 41-to-60 year age group.

Table 3. Gastrointestinal discomforts among inhabitants of different age groups and sex in villages of Sanganer Tehsil

Age range Sex <sup>a</sup> (Years)	Total no. ex <sup>a</sup> of people surveyed	Gastrointestinal discomforts (percentages in parenthesis)						
		Stomach ache	Bloated feeling	Nausea	Diarrhea	Constipation		
	Total	145	0	0	0	0	0	
<6	M	77	0	0	0	0	0	
	F	68	0	0	0	0	0	
	Total	266	0	0	0	0	0	
6-12	M	134	0	0	0	0	0	
	F	132	0	0	0	0	0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>M = male; F= female.

**Table 3 continued.** Gastrointestinal discomforts among inhabitants of different age groups and sex in villages of Sanganer Tehsil

Age range Sex		Total no.  of people	Gastrointestinal discomforts (percentages in parenthesis)					
(Years)	OUX	surveyed	Stomach ache	Bloated feeling	Nausea	Diarrhea	Constipation	
	Total	724	34	13	19	1	1	
12-18 M			(4.70) <sup>b</sup>	(2.62)	(2.62)	(0.14)	(0.14)	
	М	362	19	7	10	0	1	
			(5.25)	(1.93)	(2.76)	(0.00)	(0.28)	
	F	362	15	6	9	1 (2.22)	0	
			(4.14)	(1.66)	(2.49)	(0.28)	(0.00)	
	Total	818	137	33	64	62	8	
	rotar	0.10	(16.75)	(4.03)	(7.82)	(7.58)	(0.98)	
	М	412	75	18	36	32	4	
19-40	•••		(18.20)	(4.37)	(8.74)	(7.77)	(0.97)	
	F	406	62	15	28	220630	4	
			(15.27)	(3.69)	(6.90)	(7.39)	(0.99)	
	Total	513	116	36	66	56	8	
	Total	515	(22.61)	(7.02)	(12.87)	(10.92)	(1.56)	
	М	0.50	58	17	32	31	6	
41-60	IVI	252	(23.02)	(6.75)	(12.70)	(12.30)	(2.38)	
	F	261	58	19	34	25	2	
	Г	201	(22.22)	(7.28)	(13.03)	(9.58)	(0.77)	
	<b>-</b>	4.44	51	27	36	28	10	
. 00	Total	144	(35.42)	(18.75)	(25.00)	(19.44)	(6.94)	
>60		00	24	13	19	14	4	
	М	66	(36.36)	(19.70)	(28.79)	(21.21)	(6.06)	
	F	78	27	14	17	14	6	
	'	70	(34.62)	(17.95)	(21.79)	(17.95)	(7.69)	
	T-4-1	1125	34	13	19	1	1	
سمد اماناها	Total	1135	(3.00)	(1.15)	(1.67)	(0.09)	(0.09)	
Children		5.70	19	7	10	0	1	
	М	M 573	(3.32)	(1.22)	(1.75)	(0.00)	(0.17)	
	F	562	15	6	9	1	0	
	Г	502	(2.67) <sup>b</sup>	(1.07)	(1.60)	(0.18)	(0.00)	
		1475	304	96	166	146	26	
Total	TOTAL	ai 1475	(20.61)	(6.51)	(11.25)	(9.90)	(1.76)	
	M	M 730	157	48	87	77	14	
Adults	IVI		(21.51)	(6.58)	(11.92)	(10.55)	(1.92)	
F	F	E 745	147	48	79	69	12	
	Г	745	(19.73)	(6.44)	(10.60)	(9.26)	(1.61)	
	Total		338	109	185	147	27	
	Total	2610	(12.95)	(4.18)	(7.09)	(5.63)	(1.03)	
Grand		4000	176	55	97	77	15	
Total	М	1303	(13.51)	(4.22)	(7.44)	(5.91)	(1.15)	
	F	1207	162	54	88	70	12	
	F	1307	(12.39)	(4.13)	(6.73)	(5.36)	(0.92)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>M = male; F= female.

#### **DISCUSSION**

GI complaints in endemic F areas are early warning signs of F toxicity, and in this report they were fairly frequent in the F areas. Generally, they may be attributed to the formation of hydrofluoric acid in the gut<sup>9</sup> resulting from reaction of F anions with gastric hydrochloric acid. Owing to the presence of un-ionized HF, hydrofluoric acid has tissue-penetrating and corrosive properties that can cause inflammation, petechiae, ulceration, and other mucosal abnormalities in the small and proximal intestine. Moreover, the stomach and intestinal lining (mucosa) are destroyed with loss of microvilli. <sup>10-11</sup>

In his book on *Health Effects of Environmental Pollutants*, Waldbott<sup>12</sup> noted that 47% of fluorosis patients in Sicily were affected with GI problems. The extent of F absorption from the stomach has implications in that gastric acidity enhances both the absorption and the toxicity of F. In rabbits administered 10 mg NaF/kg bw for 24 months, the GI mucosa was severely damaged with loss of microvilli and mucus along with surface abrasions from epithelial cell degeneration.<sup>4</sup>

In early F treatment of patients for osteoporosis, GI discomfort in the form of epigastric pain, nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea was reported. Similarly, loss of appetite, nausea, abdominal pain, constipation, and intermittent diarrhea were observed by Susheela et al. in patients of the Faridabad district, in northern India who were afflicted with dental and skeletal fluorosis. Herther research by this group verified these GI symptoms in patients with osteofluorosis. After acute F exposure, various GI and other discomforts including salivation, nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, diarrhea, cramps, cardiac arrhythmia, and coma have been reported. He

In previous work, we also observed GI symptoms in patients with skeletal fluorosis. <sup>17</sup>The main complaint was a bloated feeling followed by stomach ache and nausea. In a recent report, bloated bellies of caimans and alligators from exposure to silicofluoridated water were described. <sup>18</sup> The possible role of enzyme inhibition by F in GI discomfort has also been suggested. <sup>19-20</sup> Recently, Spittle<sup>21</sup> has reported dyspepsia in a woman associated with fluoridated water intake.

### CONCLUSION

In the village study areas the most prevalent GI discomforts were stomach ache, a bloated feeling, and nausea. The maximum number of cases of gastric discomfort were observed in the high-F areas, which may therefore be correlated with high F concentrations in the groundwater used for drinking and cooking as well as poor nutrition among the inhabitants.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors are thankful to the Ground Water Department, Government of Rajasthan, and the Head, Department of Zoology, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan (India). This work was presented at the XXVIIIth Conference of the International Society for Fluoride Research, Toronto, Canada, August 7–11, 2008.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1 Stookey GK, Dellinger EL, Muhler JC. *In vitro* studies concerning fluoride absorption. Proc Soc Exp Bio Med 1964;115:298-301.
- 2 Shashi A. Gastric lesions in experimental fluorosis. Asian J Microbiol Biotech Env Sci 1999;1(3-4):171-5.
- 3 Waldbott GL. Gastric ulcer and fluoride. Fluoride 1977;10:149-51.
- 4 Susheela AK, Das TK. Chronic fluoride toxicity: a scanning electron microscopic study of duodenal mucosa. J Clin Toxicol 1988;26:467-76.
- 5 Susheela AK, Das TK, Gupta IP, Tondon RK, Kacker SK, Ghosh P, et al. Fluoride ingestion and its correlation with gastro-intestinal discomfort. Fluoride 1992;25(1):5-22.
- 6 Sondhi H, Gupta ML, Gupta GL. Intestinal effects of sodium fluoride in Swiss albino mice. Fluoride 1995;28(1):97-104.
- 7 Czerwinski E, Lankosz W. Fluoride induced changes in 60 retired aluminum workers. Fluoride 1977;10:125-36.
- 8 Shashi A. Histo-pathological effects of sodium fluoride on the duodenum of rabbits. Fluoride 2002;35(1):28-37.
- 9 Zhavoronkov AA, Strochkova LS. Fluorosis: geographical pathology and some experimental findings. Fluoride 1981;14:182-91.
- 10 Gupta IP, Das TK, Susheela AK, Dasarathy S, Tondon RK. Fluoride as a possible etiological factor in non-ulcer dyspepsia. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 1992;7:355-9.
- 11 Siddiqui AH. Fluorosis in areas of India with a high natural content of water fluoride. In: Fluorides and Human Health. Monograph No. 59. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1970. p. 284-94.
- 12 Waldbott GL. Health effects of environmental pollutants. 2nd ed. Saint Louis; CV Mosby Company;1978. p. 160-78.
- 13 Riggs BL. Treatment of osteoporosis with sodium fluoride: an appraisal. Bone Min Res 1980;2:366-93.
- 14 Susheela AK, Kumar A, Bhatnagar M, Bahadur R. Prevalence of endemic fluorosis with gastro-intestinal manifestations in people living in some north-Indian villages. Fluoride 1993;26(2):97-104.
- 15 Dasarathy S, Das TK, Gupta IP, Susheela AK, Tandon RK. Gastroduodenal manifestations in patients with skeletal fluorosis. J Gastroenterol 1996;31(3):333-7.
- 16 Akiniwa K. Re-examination of acute toxicity of fluoride. Fluoride 1997;30(2):89-104.
- 17 Sharma JD, Sharma PK. Blood and urine fluoride concentrations of subjects residing in fluoride endemic and non-endemic area of Alwar and Dausa districts. Proceedings of the Seminar on Excess Fluoride in Potable Water Associated with Health Hazard; 2006 Aug 4-5; Alwar P-12. p. 72.
- 18 Burgstahler AW, Freeman RF, Jacobs PN. Toxic effects of silicofluoridated water in Chinchillas, Caimans, alligator, and rats in captivity. Fluoride 2008;41(1):83-8.
- 19 Gunsar F, Yilmaz S, Bor S, Kumanliogulu K, Cetinkalp S, Kabalak T. Effect of hypo- and hyper-thyroidism on gastric myocardial activity. Dig Dis Sci 2003;48(4):706-12.
- 20 Schuld A. Is dental fluorosis caused by thyroid hormone disturbances? Fluoride 2005;38:91-4.
- 21 Spittle B. Dyspepsia associated with fluoridated water. Fluoride 2008;41(4):89-92.